ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ ನಾಯಕ್.—ಅಂಕೋಲ ಮತ್ತು ಕುಂಟಾ ಈ ಎರಡು ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನುಮಾರು 66 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ರೆಸ್ಟ್‌ಹೌಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸುವ ಮತ್ತು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಪ್ರಯಾಣಿಕರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸುವ ವಿಚಾರವೇನಾಯಿತು ? ಯಾವ ಬಗೆಯ ಸೌಕರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದೀರಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಮಳೆಬಿಸಿಲುಗಳ ತೊಂದರೆ ತಪ್ಪಿಸುವುದು, ಫಲಹಾರ ಮಂದಿರ, ಕಕ್ಕಸು, ಗೆಸ್ಟ್‌ಹೌಸ್ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಸೌಕರ್ಯಗಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ಇರುತ್ತವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಮೂರು ಕೆಲಸಗಳ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟನ್ನು ಒಬ್ಬರಿಗೇ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಬೇರೆಬೇರೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರೋ ಎಂದು ಈಗತಾನೆ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ನುಶೀರಾಬಾಯ್ ಷಾ ಅವರು ಕೇಳಿದರು. ಬಜಾಪುರದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ನುಶೀರಾಬಾಯ್ ಷಾ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಕಾಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I disallow the question.

3-00 P.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಪಿ. ಹಾಯದೆ.—ಉತ್ತರ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಬಸ್ ಷೆಡ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದೀರಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಹಿತ್ತಿಗೇರಿ, ಅವರ್ಸಾ, ಅಮುಂಡ್ಳಳ್ಳಿ, ಇಂಡಿಕಾ, ಇಡಕುಂಜಿ, ಮಾನಾಕುಡಿ, ಧಾರಪುರ, ಹಳದೀಪುರ, ಕಟುಗಲ್ ಕರ್ಕಿ, ಅಮಾನಾಪುರ, ಅರಗಾ ಈ 12 ಕಡೆ ಷೆಲ್ಟರುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಬೇಕೆಂದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—58-60ವರೆಗೆ ಸಿಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ಅಭಾವ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ತೊಂದರೆ ಹೇಗೆ ನಿವಾರಣೆ ಆಯಿತು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳಾಗಿವೆ, ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಅರ್ಧಮರ್ಧ ಆಗಿವೆ. ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಅದೂ ಒಂದೂ ಕಾರಣ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—Apart from the passengers' shelters, is it not a fact that there are no shelters or garages for the buses to be kept at night and consequently some of the parts of the buses are being pilfered by some persons ?

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—The buses are not in need of shelters.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಪಿ. ಹಾಯ್ದೆ.—ಮಂಜೇಕೇರಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಷೆಡ್ಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೂ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಅಗತ್ಯ ಕಂಡು ಬಂದರೆ ಮಾಡೋಣ.

---

## QUESTION FOR ANSWER ON THE DAY.
**(but not taken up)**

### Number of Untrained S.S.L.C. and non-S.S.L.C. Teachers in Kolar District.

*Q.—1028. Sri P. VENKATAGIRIYAPPA (Kolar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of untrained S.S.L.C. and Non-S.S.L.C. teachers in Kolar District ;

(*b*) whether they are aware that the Primary School teachers are deputed to Belgaum for Training and that they are put to great inconvenience :

(*c*) if so, whether they intend to expand the Teachers' Training Institute and start a Basic Training Institute at Kolar ?

*A*.—Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—

| (*a*) S.S.L.C. | Non-S.S.L.C. |
|---|---|
| 1,376 | 1,355 |

(*b*) The untrained teachers are being deputed for training to the Aided Teachers' Training Institutions in Dharwar Division, where there are vacancies.

(*c*) This will be examined.

---

## Members' Representations.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ (ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ).—ಈ ದಿವಸ ನಭೆಯನ್ನು ಒಂದು ಗಂಟೆ ನಿಧಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಜೋಡಾನಿನ ದೊರೆ ಬರುತ್ತಾರೆ, ಅವರನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸಬೇಕು.....:

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Chair is not permitting anything of the type. If the Hon'ble Member is referring to the visit of any distinguished visitor, the Chair is not permitting it. If he still persists, the Chair will have every word of it expunged.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಏನು ಲೋಪ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ನಾನೀಗ ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದ್ದೆ. ಇತ್ತಕಡೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕವರೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೂ ಆಹ್ವಾನವಿಲ್ಲ. ಮೇಲಾಗಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗಾದರೂ ಆಹ್ವಾನವಿತ್ತೋ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ಅದೂ ಸಹ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Chair is not permitting it now. If the member reads the proceedings of the House that took place yesterday, he will see that it was done with the consent of the House.

Sri S. SIVAPPA (Sravanabelagola).—He wants to bring to the notice of the Chair that Government has not extended invitation to the members of the Opposition when a distinguished person is visiting Bangalore. This is a very serious matter. They are ignoring the members of the Opposition. They are inviting their own men. The Chair can see the list of persons invited. Only Congressmen and the members belonging to the Congress party are invited. The opposition members are ignored. I want to bring to the notice of Government through the Chair the lapses on their part.

†Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—Previously, the then Chief Minister held out a promise that whenever a distinguished visitor visited the State, the members of the State Legislature would certainly be invited when the Legislature was in session. That is the procedure laid down by Sri Jatti, our ex-Chief Minister, to be followed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will look into it.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—I gave call attention notice regarding the reduction in the number of freeships and the hardships caused to the students. It is very urgent and of recent occurrence.

Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—I have received it just to-day. I said that it might be taken up day after to-morrow.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಭಾಸ್ಕರ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ (ಕಂಪು).—ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲಿನ ಕಾಲಾ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರ.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Chair will attend to it, also.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—In respect of South Kanara strike I have received two notices; one is 'call attention' notice and the other is 'short notice'. The Chair may decide to allow either short notice or 'call attention' notice.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Chair will put both of them together.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ನಾನು ಎರಡು ಕಾಲಿಂಗ್ ಅಟೆನ್ಷನ್ ನೋಟಿಸ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೆನು. ಆ ಹೈಕೆ ನಾನು ಈಗ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಇನ್‌ವಿಜೇಷನ್ ವಿಚಾರ. ನಮಗ್ಯಾರಿಗೂ ಆಮಂತ್ರಣವೇ ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲ.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Chair is examining it. Does the Member want to have it to-day or to-morrow? But day after to-morrow will be all right.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I have no objection, Sir.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—I want to know about payment of compensation to 900 families...

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member will be informed tomorrow.

ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರ ನಾವೋಷಿ.—ನಾವು ಎಷ್ಟೋ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದರೂ ಅವಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಕಾರದ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಉತ್ತರಗಳೇ ಬರುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಪಾರ್ಲಮೆಂಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ 15 ದಿನಗಳಲ್ಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ, ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಏಕೆ ಹಾಗೆ ಬರುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ? ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಇದರ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಗಮನ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I believe the Hon'ble Member came and met me. I asked him to give me the particulars. I believe he had a discussion with the Secretary also and he did not tell me anything afterwards.

## Nineteenth Report of the Business Advisory Committee.

*Presentation.*

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now, next item of the Business. I present the 19th Report of the Business Advisory Committee.

Sri A. R. PANCHAGAVI.—I beg to move:

"That this House agrees with the 19th Report of the Business Advisory Committee."

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That this House agrees with the 19th Report of the Business Advisory Committee."

*The motion was adopted.*

Karnataka State Archives
(Departmental Library)
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಪತ್ರಾಗಾರ
(ಇಲಾಖಾ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ)
Accession No. 48030
ದಿನಾಂಕ Date.....

## Second Report of the Committee on Petitions

*Presentation.*

Sri A. R. PANCHAGAVI.—I beg to present the Second Report of the Committee on Petitions.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Second Report of the Committee on petitions is presented.

## Mysore Irrigation Bill, 1963.

*Introduction.*

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Minister for Public Works).—I beg to introduce the Mysore Irrigation Bill, 1963.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Mysore Irrigation Bill, 1963, is introduced.

---

## MYSORE SMALL CAUSE COURTS BILL, 1962.

**(as reported by the Joint Select Committee.)**

*(Consideration of Clauses—continued.)*

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now the Minister for Law will reply.

†Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Minister for Law).—Sir, yesterday, I was replying to the debate which took place on clause 10 of this Bill. I was saying that the provisions contained in clause 10 of this Bill were nothing new and that they contained nothing new to those who are familiar with the phraseology and the scheme contained in section 17 of the Provincial Small Cause Courts Act. I read yesterday from the text of the section 17 of that Act and also referred to the interpretation which has been placed by different High Courts upon the language used there which is the same language employed here. I wish to bring it to the notice of the House that identical language is used in the Kerala Act and similar Acts in the other parts of the Indian Union. Now, the whole point is that the phrase 'previous application' seems to have created certain doubts and difficulties in the minds of some Hon'ble Members. The point is that a Court of Small Cause proceeds to try cases summarily; issues are not framed, the entire evidence is not recorded; only a memorandum of the evidence is made and no elaborate argument and reasoning is resorted to and so on. For a court which is to deal summarily with hundreds and hundreds of cases every day, if an application to set aside a decree passed *ex parte* or to review a judgment already made is to be made in accordance with the Civil Procedure Code, contained in order IX or other Chapters which deal with the review of application, then, the same elaborate proceedings have to be followed, which means re-opening of the intention of an aggrieved party or the supposed aggrieved party or requiring the court to embark upon the procedure which is prescribed for the trial of original cases and it is to obviate this and to provide only for *bona fide* cases where an *ex parte* decree was passed on grounds other than that summons in the suit was not duly served or for a review of judgment on grounds other than a mistake or error apparent on the face of the record, that this is inserted here. It is only in the other cases that the amount of decree should be deposited in the Court or security should be furnished as directed by the Court. It is in those cases that this clause will apply. The procedure